

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 13243, 13244 of 1993;

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 1503 & 1504 of 1995

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 8501 of 1996.

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR. JUSTICE B.C PATEL

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

JASUBEN HIRALAL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR PN BAVISHI for Petitioner

MR SN Shelat, Addl. Advocate General with Mr. MR Raval

AGP instructed by Messrs. H.M Bhagat & Co. for the Respondents.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 25/04/97

COMMON ORAL JUDGEMENT

In these matters, rule is issued and is heard today. Learned advocate for the respective petitioner waives service of the rule.

In view of the larger Bench judgment, the learned advocates for the petitioners request the Court to permit them to withdraw the petitions with a view to enable the petitioners to take appropriate action under the provisions of the Bombay Stamp Act, 1958.

The petitioners are directed to approach the appropriate authority within a period of six weeks from today.

It is further directed that the competent authority shall not raise any objection about the delay, if such an application is made within six weeks from today.

In view of the above order, interim relief granted earlier to continue, if such application is made within a period of six weeks from today. The authority shall decide the application in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid, the petitions stand disposed as withdrawn. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

Prakash*